U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Insitiutes of Health What Does U.S. National Population Survey Data Reveal About Effectiveness of Nicotine Replacement Therapy on Smoking Cessation?

Anne M. Hartman

What Does U.S. National Population Survey Data Reveal About

Effectiveness & Impact of Nicotine Replacement Therapy on Smoking Cessation?

Affiliations

- Anne M. Hartman US National Cancer Institute DCCPS, ARP, RFMMB
- Patricia L. Mabry US National Institutes of Health, OD, OBSSR
- James T. Gibson Information Management Services, Inc.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Insitiutes of Health Scott J. Leischow - University of Arizona College of Medicine, DFCM & Arizona Cancer Center

## Key Issue Explored: NRT Efficacy vs. Effectiveness & Impact

- Continuum from internal validity to external validity
- RCT's of NRT show efficacy
- Real world studies show much lower effectiveness
- The analysis presented here provides survey data from a representative national sample (i.e., real world effectiveness in a free living population)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

## **Differences Across Studies**

• Different settings (clinical, community..)

• Different time pts. -- maximums 5 – 12 mos.

 Different measures of quitting or abstinencepoint prevalence - continuous abstinence

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Insitiutes of Health  Conducted during different periods of time (under varying tobacco control policies, taxes..)

## **Definition of Terms**

#### For Next Slide:

ODDS RATIO (OR) = ratio of odds of quitting for NRT treated (Tx) group to odds of quitting among the placebo group

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

## Odds Ratios and 7-day Pt Prevalence Abstinence Rates for NRT & Placebo in RCTs

<u>Type of Med</u>	<u>OR: Tx vs.</u> <u>Placebo (95% Cl)</u>	<u>5-12 months post</u> <u>"quit-day"</u>	<u>Number of</u> <u>Studies</u>
Patch*	1.9 (1.7-2.2)	17.7% vs. 10.0%	27
OTC Patch	1.8 (1.2-2.8)	11.8% vs. 6.7%	3
Gum 2mg*	1.5 <b>(1.3-1.8)</b>	23.7% vs.17.1%	13
Lozenge 2& 4mg**	1.5-2.6	17% vs. N/A	4
Nasal spray*	2.7 (1.8-4.1)	30.5% vs. 13.9%	3
Inhaler*	2.5 (1.7-3.6)	22.8% vs. 10.5%	4
Pooled NRT**	1.8 (1.7 to 1.9)	17% vs. 10%	103

\*Fiore et al., 2000; \*\*Cochrane (Silagy et al., 2004)

## Cross-Sectional General Population Studies

#### Massachusetts\*:

- No significant change in quitting smoking pre- 1996 (1993) with NRT use vs. post-1996 (1999) NRT use
- Nor between NRT users and non-users in either period

18.7% successful quitting with NRT vs. 16.7% for nonusers- (pre-1996)

✤ 31.1% successful quitting with NRT vs. 23.0% for nonusers (*post-1996*)

#### California<sup>\*\*</sup>:

- Long-term (6 mos.) length of abstinence for pre-1996 Rx Aid Use but not post-1996 use;
- Short term (< 3 mos.) length of abstinence for both periods seen.

\* Thorndike et al., 2002; \*\*Pierce et al., 2002

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

## Tobacco Use Supplement to the Current Population Survey (TUS-CPS)

- Key source of U.S. national, state, and sub-state level data on tobacco use & tobacco control policy
- Nationally representative sample
  - 240,000 civilian individuals aged 15+
  - 75% by phone & 25% in person visit
  - 83<sup>\*</sup>-88% response rate for self + proxy; 63<sup>\*</sup> -72% for self only

For more info: http://riskfactor.cancer.gov/studies/tus-cps

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES



2003 Tobacco Use Special Cessation Supplement to the Current Population Survey (TUSCS-CPS)

**Selection Criteria** 

#### 25 years +

• Everyday smoker 12 months prior to survey

At least one 24hr+ quit attempt in the previous 12 months

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

## Use of Specific NRT Products\* (N=8499)

Factor	Sample Size	Percent (%)
Patch Only	1272	14%
Gum Only	385	4.5%
Lozenge Only	49	0.5%
More than one OTC Only	311	3.4%
Nasal Spray Only	5	0.1%
Inhaler Only	55	0.6%
1 OTC + 1 Prescription NRT	29	0.3%
Other combination of NRT	482	5.2%
No Meds	5428	66%

\* LAST quit attempt lasting 24+ hrs. during the past 12 mos.

**Definition of Terms** 

For Next Set of Slides:

HAZARD RATIO (HR) – rate of relapse among the NRT users divided by the rate of relapse among the non-users

E.g., an HR of 0.90 means the relapse rate for the NRT group is lower than that of the non-NRT group —thus the NRT group remains abstinent longer

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Cox Proportional Hazards Regression Length of Abstinence\* for NRT Use (N = 8200)

Factor	Hazard Ratio <i>(Relapse)</i>	95% CI	p-value ( <i>T-test, β=0)</i>	3+ Months Still Abstinent %	6+ Months Still Abstinent %	9+ Months Still Abstinent %
Any One NRT	0.90	0.82 - 0.97	0.008	28	16	14
More than One NRT	1.08	0.97 - 1.21	0.163	21	12	12
No NRT	1.00	•	•	25	17	16

\* On Last Quit Attempt

### Cox Proportional Hazards Regression Length of Abstinence for NRT N = (8200)

Factor	Hazard Ratio <i>(Relapse)</i>	95% CI	p-value ( <i>T-test,</i> β=0)	<b>3+</b> Months Still Abstinent %	6+ Months Still Abstinent %	9+ Months Still Abstinent %
Patch Only	0.84	0.77 – 0.92	<0.001	31	17	14
Gum Only or Lozenge Only	0.98	0.84 – 1.15	0.838	23	16	14
Nasal Spray Only or Inhaler Only	0.73	0.50 – 1.05	0.090	23	20	20
Bupropion and Any 1 NRT	0.99	0.82 – 1.20	0.948	20	14	12
Other combination of NRT	1.07	0.97 – 1.19	0.186	21	12	12
No Meds	1.00			24	17	16

## Kaplan-Meier Curve for Length of Abstinence Patch Only vs. No Meds



Length of Abstinence

Kaplan Meier Curve for Length of Abstinence Nasal Spray Only or Inhaler Only vs. Patch vs. No Meds (*Only Relapsed Smokers*)



### Cox Proportional Hazards Regression Length of Abstinence for Pharmaceutical Aids (Only Relapsed Smokers) (N=6650)

Factor	Hazard Ratio <i>(Relapse)</i>	95% CI	p-value ( <i>T-test,</i> β=0)	3+ Months Still Abstinent %	6+ Months Still Abstinent %	9+ Months Still Abstinent %
Patch Only	0.71	0.65 – 0.77	<10 <sup>-5</sup>	14	2	0
Gum Only or Lozenge Only	0.98	0.86 – 1.10	0.682	7	1	1
Nasal Spray Only or Inhaler Only	0.71	0.52 – 0.98	0.040	4	0	0
Bupropion and Any 1 NRT	0.80	0.70 – 0.92	0.003	5	1	1
Other combination of NRT	0.80	0.71 – 0.90	<0.001	9	2	2
No Meds	1.00			8	1	1

Any Cigarette Smoking Cessation Activity of the US Household Population 25 Years and older Age-Adjusted Percentage Estimates



Reported Cigarette Smoking Cessation of the US Household Population 25 Years and older Age-Adjusted Percentage Estimates



## Conclusions

- NRT efficacy studies yield higher rates of success at 6 mos. than effectiveness studies (ours & previous studies)
- Surveillance data tends to show weaker effects or no effect for NRT especially for long term abstinence of 6 mos.+; some shorter term effects are seen for 2-4 mos. (ours & previous studies)
  - Patch use quit rate for 3 mos. is 31% vs. no medicinal aid use rate of 24%, while *(our study)*
  - For 6 mos. rates are 17% and 16% respectively (our study)
- Patch use effect (0.84) is most consistently statistically significant --stronger for relapsed smokers (0.71) (our study)
  - 14% vs. 8% for 3 mos.
  - 2% vs. 1% for 6 mos.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES 

- National Insitiutes of Health
- Rx NRT use effects are statistically significant ONLY among relapsed smokers (our study)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Insitiutes of Health

## Limitations & Advantages of Surveillance Studies

#### • LIMITATIONS

- Self selection to use of NRT
- Factors not measured are uncontrolled
- Possibly residual confounding despite adjusting for some factors in a model
- Some retrospective recall error

#### • ADVANTAGES

- Large nationally representative general population
- Free-living population makes own choices culminating from self and external factors
- Helps understand existing population statistics, i.e., existing successful quitting rates (U.S. little change in small, 3-6 % per yr. quitting rates)
- Helps identify differences between "real world" and randomized studies & can suggest interventions for bringing the two closer together

## Future Research & Practice to Consider

- Understand self selection of use of NRT
- Pattern of use of NRT- "real world" usage not ideal
- Provide realistic messages and expectations to smokers-
  - necessity for repetition & skill building
  - define "effective," most will not succeed in any given attempt, only about 5% more succeed with NRT (10%) over no NRT (5%) success leaves 90% who will fail over 6 mos.
- More effective tailoring of existing & new products to result in greater quitting success

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Insitiutes of Health

ightarrow

Increased use of tailored products

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

#### National Insitiutes of Health

## Future Research & Practice to Consider (continued)

- Convince smokers that tobacco addiction should follow a medical model -- e.g., cholesterol control
  - First try simple behavioral changes such as altering diet-similar to self help "quitting smoking on one's own"
  - If not successful then seek medical aid
- Finally, need different types of studies to evaluate impact in the "real world" not just randomized studies nor just cohort/cross-sectional ones— *Totality of evidence*

# **Overview:**

- RCT's efficacy
- Public health settings randomizing
  participants
- Community studies
- Surveillance –cohort & cross-sectional population studies

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

# **Definitions of Terms**

- Point prevalence abstinence: past 7-days only (most inclusive of quitters)
- <u>Continuous abstinence</u>: all days since quit day (most conservative/fewest quitters)
- <u>Prolonged abstinence</u>: all days since quit day with a "grace" period, usu 2 weeks; (in between)

Continuous and Prolonged abstinence measures produce similar absolute quit rates and ORs, but are NOT equivalent to Point Prevalence unless it is REPEATED Point Prevalence

Point Prev > Rep Pt Prev ~ Prolonged ~ Continuous

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Insitiutes of Health Keeley, Hughes, & Carpenter, NTR, 2001

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Insitiutes of Health

# **Definitions of Terms**

 Survival or "relapse" curve (Kaplan-Meier) – percent of sample still abstinent at each successive date

 Odds Ratio (OR): odds of quitting in one group vs. another; e.g. OTC NRT vs placebo; OTC NRT vs. Rx

## Odds Ratios and 7-day Pt Prevalence Abstinence Rates for Pharmacotherapy & Placebo in RCTs

<u>Type of Med</u>	<u>OR: Tx vs.</u> <u>Placebo (95% Cl)</u>	<u>5-12 months post</u> <u>"quit-day"</u>	<u>Number of</u> <u>Studies</u>
Patch*	1.9 (1.7-2.2)	17.7% vs. 10.0%	27
OTC Patch	1.8 (1.2-2.8)	11.8% vs. 6.7%	3
Gum 2mg*	1.5 (1.3-1.8)	23.7% vs.17.1%	13
Lozenge 2& 4mg**	1.52-2.64	N/A	
Nasal spray*	2.7 (1.8-4.1)	30.5% vs. 13.9%	3
Inhaler*	2.5 (1.7-3.6)	22.8% vs. 10.5%	4
Bupropion*	2.1 (1.5-3.0)	30.5% vs. 17.5%	2
Pooled NRT**	1.77 (1.66 to 1.88)	N/A	103

\*Fiore et al., 2000; \*\*Cochrane (Silagy et al., 2004)

## RCT Abstinence Rates for Pharmacotherapy & Placebo (from Clinical Practice Guidelines\*)

<u>Type of Med</u>	OTC vs. Rx	<u>OR</u>	<u>Quit 6-12 mos.</u>
Patch	OTC	1.9	17.7%
Gum 2mg	OTC	1.5	23.7%
Lozenge 2& 4mg	OTC	1.5-2.6**	N/A
Nasal spray	Rx	2.7	30.5%
Inhaler	Rx	2.5	22.8%
Bupropion	Rx	2.1	30.5%
Placebo	NA	1.0	10 - 17.3%

\*Fiore et al., 2000; 6,000 papers reviewed \*\*Cochrane (Silagy et al., 2004)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Insitiutes of Health

## **Studies in Public Health Settings**

- Inhaler\* & Patch\*\* studies
  - Pts randomized to inhaler /patch with physician or without physician (OTC)
  - 1 yr quit rate for inhaler 3.1% vs .77%; patch 4.0% vs. 4.7% ns
  - Meta- analyses<sup>\*\*\*</sup> of 7 Over-the-Counter (OTC) trials (mostly trials of randomized participants) of either OTC NRT vs. Placebo, or OTC NRT vs. Prescription NRT
    - 6 mos. Quit rate was 7% for OTC NRT & for Prescription NRT
    - 6. mos. Quit rate was 3.7% for average of 3 placebo trials
    - 6 mos. Quit rate was 3% from I992 study for "self quitting"
    - THUS A 6-MOS. QUIT RATE for NRT from these Effectiveness studies is ONLY about--- 3-4%
       DIFFERENCE --- from PLACEBO OR SELF QUITTING; WHILE NRT Efficacy studies previously mentioned show about-- 8-13% DIFFERENCE --from PLACEBO

\*Leischow et al., 2004; \*\*Leischow et al., 1999; \*\*\* Hughes et al., 2003

## **OTC Efficacy Meta-analysis**

#### Abstinence: Repeated Pt Prevalance, Prolonged, or Continuous

<u>Type of Med</u>	<u>OR: Tx vs.</u> <u>Placebo (95%</u> <u>Cl)</u>	<u>2.5-6 months</u> post "quit-day"	<u>Number</u> of Studies
OTC Patch	2.5 (1.8-3.6)	9.6% vs. 4.3%	4
<u>Type of Med</u>	<u>OR: OTC vs.</u> <u>Rx (95% Cl)</u>	<u>6-12 months</u> post "quit-day"	<u>Number</u> <u>of</u> <u>Studies</u>
OTC Patch	1.4 (.6-3.3)	8.9% vs. 8.0%	4

Hughes, Shiffman, et al., Tobacco Control; 2003

# **Community Settings**

Free NRT voucher giveaway (gum/patch in NY)\*, \*\*

 22%\* at 4-6 mos. & 21-33%\*\* at 4 mos. were abstinent (7-day abstinence) compared to 12% earlier group not given free NRT voucher

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Insitiutes of Health \*Bauer et al., 2006; \*\*Cummings et al., 2006

#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Insitiutes of Health

# **Surveillance Studies**

- Cohort study COMMIT 11 paired communities across U.S. surveyed in 1993 and 2001
  - Rx Patch/Gum (pre-1996) 6 mos.+ quit rate vs. OTC Patch/Gum (post-1996) rate
    - Patch: 15.3% vs. 15.5%
    - Gum : 9.7% vs. 17.6%
- Cross-sectional general population studies in MA<sup>\*</sup> & CA<sup>\*\*</sup>
  - MA: No significant change in quitting smoking pre- 1996 (1993) with NRT use vs. post-1996 (1999) NRT use -or - between NRT users and non-users in either period
    - 8.1% pre-1996 vs 11.1% post 1996 successful quitting (past year quitters who at the time of the survey were not smoking)
    - 18.7% successful quitting with NRT use vs. 16.7% success for non-users-- pre-1996
    - 31.1% successful quitting with NRT use vs. 23.0% success for non-users –post-1996
  - CA: Long-term (6 mos.) length of abstinence for pre-1996 Rx Aid Use but not post-1996 use; Short term (< 3 mos.) length of abstinence for both periods seen.
  - \* Thorndike et al., 2002; \*\*Pierce et al., 2002

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

National Insitiutes of Health

## TUSCS-CPS Demographics N=8499

- Gender
  - > Male (52%)
  - Female (48%)
  - Age

- > 25-44 (56%)
- > 45-64 (37%)
- 65+ (7%)
- Education
  - > <12<sup>th</sup> (16%)
  - > HS grad (38%)
  - Some/College Grad (46%)

- Race/Ethnicity
  - > White-NH (77%)
  - > Black-NH (11%)
  - Hispanic (7%)
  - > Other (5%)
- Geographic Region
  - Northwest (20%)
  - Midwest (27%)
  - > South (35%)
  - > West (18%)

## **TUSCS-CPS** Use of Pharmaceutical & Behavioral Aids

• 53 % used no aid

30% used NRT

• 29% used over-the-counter method (OTC)

 14% combined NRT with behavioral treatment

• 5% combined NRT with Bupropion

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

## Use of Specific NRT Products and Bupropion<sup>\*</sup> (N=8499)

Factor	Sample Size	Percent (%)
Patch Only	1272	14.0%
Gum Only	385	4.5%
Lozenge Only	49	0.5%
More than one OTC Only	311	3.4%
Nasal Spray Only	5	0.1%
Inhaler Only	55	0.6%
1 OTC + 1 Prescription NRT	29	0.3%
Bupropion Only	483	5.4%
Bupropion + Any 1 NRT	324	3.4%
Other combination of NRT and/or Bupropion	158	1.8%
No Meds	5428	65.9%

\* LAST quit attempt lasting 24+ hrs. during the past 12 mos.

### Cox Proportional Hazards Regression Length of Abstinence\* for NRT, Bupropion (N = 8200)

Factor	Hazard Ratio ( <i>Relapse</i> )	95% CI	<b>p-value</b> ( <i>T-test, β=0</i> )	3+ Months Still Abstinent %	6+ Months Still Abstinent %	9+ Months Still Abstinent %
Any One NRT	0.90	0.82 - 0.97	0.008	28	16	14
More than One NRT	1.08	0.97 - 1.21	0.163	21	12	12
No NRT	1.00	1.00 - 1.00	•	25	17	16
Bupropion	0.98	0.90 - 1.08	0.729			
No Bupropion	1.00	1.00 - 1.00	•			

\* On Last Quit Attempt

## Cox Proportional Hazards Regression Length of Abstinence for Pharmaceutical Aids N =( 8200)

Factor	Hazard Ratio <i>(Relapse)</i>	95% CI	p-value ( <i>T-test, β=0)</i>	<b>3+</b> Months Still Abstinen ct %	6+ Months Still Abstinent %	9+ Months Still Abstinent %
Patch Only	0.84	0.77 – 0.92	0.000	31	17	14
Gum Only or Lozenge Only	0.98	0.84 – 1.15	0.838	23	16	14
Nasal Spray Only or Inhaler Only	0.73	0.50 – 1.05	0.090	23	-	-
Bupropion Only	0.93	0.83 – 1.04	0.186	27	18	14
Bupropion and Any 1 NRT	0.99	0.82 – 1.20	0.948	20	14	12
Other combination of NRT and/or Bupropion	1.07	0.97 – 1.19	0.186	21	12	10
No Meds	1.00			24	17	16

Cox Proportional Hazards Regression Length of Abstinence for Pharmaceutical Aids (Only Relapsed Smokes) (N=6650)

Factor	Hazard Ratio <i>(Relapse)</i>	95% CI	p-value ( <i>T-test,</i> β=0)	<b>3+</b> Months Still Abstinent %	6+ Months Still Abstinent %	9+ Months Still Abstinent %
Patch Only	0.71	0.64 – 0.77	0.000	14	2	0
Gum Only or Lozenge Only	0.98	0.86 – 1.10	0.682	7	1	0
Nasal Spray Only or Inhaler Only	0.71	0.52 – 0.98	0.040	4	0	0
Bupropion Only	0.83	0.74 – 0.93	0.001	10	2	0
Bupropion and Any 1 NRT	0.80	0.70 – 0.92	0.003	5	1	1
Other combination of NRT and/or Bupropion	0.80	0.71 – 0.90	0.000	9	2	2
No Meds	1.00			8	1	1

### Kaplan Meier Curve for Length of Abstinence Bupropion vs. Bupropion + Any 1 NRT vs. No Meds (Only Relapsed Smokers)

