EXHIBIT A

SUGGESTED LETTER TO RICHLAND COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA TAN VOLUNTEERS

June 3, 1985

Dear TAN Volunteer:

The Richland County Commission has received an anti-smoking proposal and referred it to the County Council. If adopted, it would severely restrict or even ban smoking in public buildings and perhaps in many private business establishments. This proposal will be heard by the County Council in the very near future.

If you believe that this proposal is unreasonable, unnecessary and unenforceable, we urge you to contact your County Council member to express your opposition to such an ordinance. I am sure that you agree that we need less governmental interference and regulation in our daily lives.

On the reverse side of this letter are the names, addresses and phone numbers of the members of the County Council. You'll also find a list of points you can make in your calls and letters (in your own words, please).

We also request that you contact your friends and business associates to solicit their opposition.

Thanks so much for your assistance. If I can provide any additional information to you, please contact me.

Sincerely.

John Bankhead Regional Vice President

EXHIBIT B

SUGGESTED POINTS TO MAKE

- Laws that attempt to restrict smoking or to segregate smokers in public places are of such low priority to the police that they receive little or no attention. This lack of attention further erodes confidence in enforcement agencies.
- Limited manpower and funds make it difficult for the police to perform current duties in an effective and efficient manner. Real and serious threats to public safety demand our fullest attention.
- 3. The fact that some persons derive enjoyment from smoking must be considered along with the preferences of nonsmokers. When tobacco is used in a courteous and considerate manner, it reflects a reasonable accommodation for smokers and nonsmokers alike. Courtesy has been repeatedly found to be the most workable solution.
- 4. The answer to the public smoking issue is not to waste the taxpayers' money by passing unenforceable laws which do more harm than good; rather the answer lies in more courtesy on the part of some smokers and more tolerance on the part of some nonsmokers.
- 5. The restrictive smoking proposal is an excellent example of the kind of burdensome. unnecessary ordinance that citizens of Richland County do not need.
- 6. Smoking is a matter of personal choice and an activity that is best governed by common courtesy.
- 7. At a time of limited state and local monies. implementing this would clearly be a waste of fiscal and human resources.
- 8. Furthermore. there is already too much government intrusion into the lives of private citizens:
- 9. Any real or imaginary problems that exist between smokers and nonsmokers can be resolved by common sense. tolerance. and courtesy.
- 10. I resent further attempts to legislate personal habits which this restrictive smoking proposal certainly does.

EXHIBIT C

RICHLAND COUNTY COUNCIL MEMBERS

Mr. James R. Barber P. O. Box 1549 Columbia, S. C. 29202 779-4383

Mrs. Leone Castles 1331 Adger Road Columbia, S. C. 29205 254-4131

Mr. James C. Farley 4000 River Drive Columbia, S. C. 29201 779-4000

Mr. Raymond E. McKay, Jr. 3700 Forest Drive Suite 200 Columbia, S. C. 29204 787-5300

Mr. W. R. Rogers 5255 Farrow Road Columbia, S. C. 29203 787-1910

Mrs. Candy Y. Waites 818 Gregg Street Columbia, S. C. 29201 799-7977 Mr. Thomas E. Boney P. O. Box 72 Blythewood, S. C. 29016 771-4996

Mr. Robert Coble 720 Calhoun Street Columbia. S. C. 29201 771-6024

Mrs. Lillie B. Herndon 6 Summit Place Columbia S. C. 29204 254-1396

Mr. John D. Monroe Route 2, Box 173 Elgin, S. C. 29045 788-2010

Mr. Billy E. Taylor 6635 Arcadia Woods Road Columbia. S. C. 29206 799-7722